

## **NEMI MATERIAL DISCLOSURE PILOT**

### **Motorola and Synapsis EMARS™ Pilot**

EMARS™ is the tool currently used by Motorola for the upload, qualification, management and reporting of material content data.

### **Instructions**

The NEMI Material Content Pilot is focused on evaluating the performance of the processes and tools currently in use by the industry. The areas in which the tools will be evaluated are data collection, data management and data reporting. This section of the pilot is limited to data collection; however the overall results for the pilot will be made available by NEMI.

Important: The names of the suppliers involved in the pilot will be kept confidential.

#### **Step 1**

To assure that the results from data management and reporting can be easily compared across the multiple tools, a sample bill of material and parts material composition was created and will be used during the pilot. Please use the information provided to complete a material content report using the AIAG Compliance Connect tool.

#### **Step 2**

Once this is completed, please complete the survey below.

#### **Step 3**

Please submit the certified and exported .cxs file to [kurk.kan@motorola.com](mailto:kurk.kan@motorola.com) no later than 02/18/03.

Please contact German Avila at 847-862-2632 if you have any questions.

Your collaboration and feedback are highly appreciated. Thank you for supporting Motorola and NEMI in this effort!

## **NEMI Materials Disclosure Pilot Supplier Questionnaire**

Please answer the following questions:

Which tool did you use? Please check one : IMDS\_\_\_ AIAG Compliance Connect\_X\_

1. How many times have you used this tool?  
Appx. 16-18 times (submissions)

2. How easy or difficult was the tool to use?

Initially there were complications, but we've managed to correct the team.

3. How would you describe the help features and clarity of instructions?  
The help features were seldom used. We found it more useful to contact The Traverse Group directly

4. What was your experience during the installation (if applicable, positives and negatives)? Moderately experienced. Gained more experience with the number of uses.

5. What was your experience during the data entry process (positives and negatives)?

Same.

6. What was your experience during the reporting / data exchange (positives and negatives)?

Same.

7. What issues did you run into and how did you resolve them?

Initially we ran into problems with repeating similar data and with naming the components we were reporting (*i.e.* Industry Names). We contacted the Traverse Group Helpline to learn how to correct the errors.

8. What was the total time to fill out the information into the tool (e.g. from the time you received the request until you were ready to send)? Due to the number of items we were requested to report on the first submission, it took approximately two months to complete (information was sent to our main Engineering Team in Japan, then translated onto reporting database).
  
9. What suggestions and improvements do you recommend?  
We're actually satisfied now that we've been through the training session and have completed numerous requests.
  
10. What barriers do you see in adopting the tool across your company?  
Communicating responsibility between the various Engineering Groups to complete the data.